Effects of seed traits on the potential for seed dispersal by fish with contrasting modes of feeding
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SUMMARY

1. For aquatic and riparian plants, the important role of fish in seed dispersal is increasingly recognised. While the propensity of seeds to disperse is known to be a function of morphological, physical and chemical traits of the seed, in the case of fish-mediated seed dispersal (ichthyochory), it is largely unknown how seed traits modulate the potential for seed ingestion and their subsequent survival through the gut. Furthermore, which seed traits are important may vary among fish species.

2. To evaluate the role of both seed and fish traits in ichthyochory, we fed seeds of 19 aquatic and riparian plant species to fish with differing feeding mechanisms. *Cyprinus carpio* (common carp) has a pharyngeal ‘mill’, which it uses physically to crush hard food, while *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Mozambique tilapia) has only tiny oral and pharyngeal teeth and instead relies more on chemical digestion.

3. A number of seed traits, including hardness, size and shape, were important determinants of the potential of seeds for ichthyochory. Certain traits (e.g. seed dimensions) were more important during ingestion, whereas other traits were more important for seed survival and subsequent germination (e.g. seed hardness, mucilaginous coat). Compared to controls, germination of retrieved seeds in carp was lower in 10 and higher in two plant species, whereas for tilapia, it was lower in seven and higher in three species. Overlap between these plant species was low, indicating clear difference between the fish studied in their potential for seed dispersal. Carp increased in size during the experiment and concomitant decreases in seed survival and retrieval were found, suggesting that body size and the correlated bite force is an important fish trait in ichthyochory.

4. Overall, seed hardness, size and shape appear crucial for the survival of seeds passing through the guts of carp and tilapia. Beyond this general pattern, a greater complexity of trait-performance relationships appeared: different seed traits are involved during each of the stages of ichthyochory. Moreover, the importance of seed traits differed between carp and tilapia, with some traits having interactive and contrasting effects in both fish species. Aquatic plants with floating seeds adapted to hydrochorous dispersal were less likely to be dispersed by tilapia than plants with non-floating seeds, suggesting a dispersal trade-off between ichthyochory and hydrochory. Thus, depending on their seed characteristics, fish may offer an additional dispersal route to aquatic and riparian plants.
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Introduction

Seed dispersal is of paramount importance for understanding and predicting the dynamics of plant distribution in response to large-scale changes in habitat availability or climatic suitability (Nathan, 2006). In wetlands, the important role of fish in internal (endozoochorous) seed dispersal is increasingly recognised (Anderson et al., 2011; Horn et al., 2011), providing aquatic and riparian plants with an additional dispersal route next to hydrochorous dispersal (Boedeltje et al., 2003), wind dispersal (Soomers et al., 2013) or dispersal by water birds (Figueroa & Green, 2002; Van Leeuwen et al., 2012). The potential of seeds for dispersal is governed by morphological, physical and chemical traits of the seeds. Knowing the importance of different seed traits for a given dispersal route enhances our general understanding of the evolution of dispersal mechanisms (e.g. Schaefer & Schaefer, 2007; Nathan et al., 2008). For example, a flat shape and a good floating ability enhances hydrochorous seed dispersal (Boedeltje et al., 2003), capsaicinoids in chillies deter seed-eating rodents but not seed-dispersing birds (Tewksbury & Nabhan, 2001), and differences in fruit size and colour are associated with differences in the fruit choice among frugivorous birds (Flörchinger et al., 2010). Therefore, seed traits could promote a number of dispersal routes, giving rise to polychory, that is the dispersal by more than one vector (Nathan, 2007). Alternatively, certain seed traits could promote one dispersal route, but at the same time constrain another, giving rise to dispersal trade-offs (Thompson et al., 2002; Bonte et al., 2012).

Although knowledge of seed dispersal by fish (ichthyochory) has increased over the past decades (Anderson, Saldaña Rojas & Flecker, 2009; Anderson et al., 2011; Horn et al., 2011; Pollux, 2011; Sumoski & Orth, 2012), few investigations have actually determined (some of) the seed traits that are important for fish-mediated seed dispersal (Agami & Waisel, 1988; Pollux et al., 2006, 2007). A general understanding of the effect of seed traits would allow us to predict the potential for dispersal by fish in many other plants from their seed traits alone. An added complexity here is that traits affecting the likelihood of a seed being ingested and surviving passage through the gut, could itself vary among fish, interacting with inter- and intraspecific traits of the fish themselves (e.g. size, oral gape width, jaw morphology, presence and type of teeth, bite force, length of the digestive tract and digestive capability). Therefore, comparative feeding trials, employing both different species of fish and seeds from a range of plants, are essential. Due to their complexity, such studies are currently lacking (Pollux, 2011) or are of insufficient breadth to allow generalisations (Agami & Waisel, 1988; Adams et al., 2007; Galetti et al., 2008; Anderson et al., 2009).

Here, we attempt to fill this gap in knowledge and evaluate the role of both seed and fish traits in fish-mediated dispersal. We fed the seeds of 19 aquatic and riparian plant species, with a range of classical modes of dispersal, whose seeds vary widely in size, shape, mass, hardness, mucilaginous coat and appendices. In our feeding trials, we used two fish species with contrasting traits in terms of feeding and digestion (Table 1). The common carp (Cyprinus carpio) lacks a stomach and has a toothless mouth, but instead the pharyngeal (throat) jaw teeth are well developed: food is physically crushed and ground between lower molariform teeth and a cornified chewing pad, fixed in the base of its skull and serving as an anvil. Mozambique tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus) lacks such molariform teeth and a chewing pad and has only many tiny teeth in its jaws and throat. However, tilapia has a better chemical digestion as it has a stomach (with can become very acidic) and a longer intestine than the carp (Table 1). Both fish species include aquatic and riparian plant seeds in their diet (e.g. Crivelli, 1981; Bowen, 1982; García-Berthou, 2001).

The process of ichthyochory can be divided into five stages (Sibbing, 1988; Pollux, 2011): (i) detection and uptake of seeds into the oral cavity, (ii) food processing and selection in the pharyngeal cavity and subsequent ingestion and (iii) retention for digestion, together affecting the (iv) viability and (v) germination probability after gut passage. We quantified frequency of ingestion, retrieval after egestion, survival and germination, and for each stage, we related the performance of plant seeds to their traits.

We expected a lower ingestion of large seeds and seeds with pointed appendages in both fish species. Seed hardness was hypothesised to promote retrieval and survival, especially in carp with its pharyngeal mill. Finally, compared to seeds in the control group, hard seeds were expected to germinate in greater numbers compared to the control group as their seed coat would be superficially damaged by the pharyngeal mill in carp or affected by the low pH in tilapia. Softer seeds were hypothesised to show a decreased germination.

Methods

Fish species

Common carp and Mozambique tilapia are commonly invasive outside their native ranges. The carp, native to
rivers draining into the Black, Caspian and Aral Sea, is currently widespread in Eurasia, North America, Australia and Africa (e.g. Koehn, 2004; Crook et al., 2013), while Mozambique tilapia, native to southern Africa, has invaded numerous waters in America, Australia and South-East Asia (Canonico et al., 2005). Both are omnivorous and potentially ingest seeds while feeding on aquatic or riparian plants, epiphytes or epifauna or foraging among detritus (Table 1).

Tilapia were reared in the laboratory of the Radboud University Nijmegen, common carp were obtained from a Dutch fish hatchery ‘Viskweekcentrum Valkenswaard’. At the end of the experiment, the mean standard body length and mass of tilapia were 16.1 ± 0.4 cm and 0.104 ± 0.076 (SE) kg (n = 20), respectively, and of common carp 15.8 ± 0.4 cm and 0.119 ± 0.028 kg (n = 20), respectively. The length and mass of tilapia increased 1.1 and 1.5 times, respectively, and of carp 1.5 and 4.7 times, over the c. 5-month experiment. Fish were fed commercial Trouvit pellets (Trouw Nutrition International, Putten, the Netherlands), at a daily ration of 1% of estimated body mass, at 9:00 and 17:00 hour. On a day that seeds were fed (at 10:30 hour), the provision of the first portion of the Trouvit pellets (normally at 9:00 hour) was at 12:30 hour.

From December 2012, fish were kept in tap water (maintained at 24 °C) in 140 L tanks, which are part of a closed recirculating aquaculture system with a total volume of 2500 L. For each species, 10 separate tanks (replicates) were used for the experiments and each tank housed 10 individuals. To prevent transmission of potential pathogens, each species had its own aquaculture (filtering) system, resulting in two separate blocks of 10 tanks. Water quality in the system was maintained by a biofilter and the weekly replacement of 10% of the water. The recirculating water was continuously aerated. Water from each tank ran through a discharge pipe, in which a 200-µm filter collected fish faeces, including egested seeds.

Table 1: Traits related to food and food processing of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and Mozambique tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>C. carpio</th>
<th>O. mossambicus</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cyprinidae</td>
<td>Cichlidae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Cypriniformes</td>
<td>Perciformes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>Benthic polyphagous: (1) dipteran larvae, (2) detritus, algae, molluscs, micro- and macrocrustaceans, (3) aquatic macrophytes</td>
<td>Benthic-pelagic polyphagous: (1) algae, phytoplankton, decaying plants, (2) detritus, diatoms, zooplankton micro-crustaceans, dipteran larvae, (3) aquatic macrophytes</td>
<td>2–4, 6, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative mouth width (as % SL)</td>
<td>9% (for carps ranging between 10 and 25 cm)</td>
<td>12% (for tilapias with a mean SL of 10 cm)</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>By muscular peristalsis of palatal organ in roof pharynx</td>
<td>By movement of pharyngeal jaws</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth on oral jaws</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Many upper and lower oral jaw teeth, very fine and pointed</td>
<td>8–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal jaws</td>
<td>Lower pharyngeal jaws movably interconnected; upper pharyngeal jaws replaced by horny chewing pad fixed in the skull base</td>
<td>Lower pharyngeal jaws fused, opposing separately movable upper pharyngeal jaws</td>
<td>8–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth on pharyngeal jaws</td>
<td>Large lower teeth are crushers and grinders against horny chewing pad</td>
<td>Many upper and lower pharyngeal jaw teeth, very fine and pointed</td>
<td>8–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach with acid secretion</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyloric caecaee, fingerlike blind sacs in the proximal intestine</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine production of cellulase or amylase</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulase production by microorganisms</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase production by microorganisms</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>5, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative gut length: ratio of intestine length to fish SL</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>7.9 (adults of mean length of 10.8 cm); between 7 and 10</td>
<td>1, 2, 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SL, standard length fish.

Nine aquatic and riparian plant species (Table 2) were used. All species are common in and along temperate freshwater habitats where fish be potential seed dispersers. Species were selected with a wide range of seed traits potentially important for ichthyochory (Table 2). Only plants whose seeds could potentially be ingested by the fish <13.5 mm for a 150 mm standard length (SL) carp, <18 mm for a 150 mm SL tilapia, Table 1] were selected. Either seeds or fruits were used in the feeding experiment, according to the manner in which they are available to fish in nature. The only exception was Carex pseudocyperus, of which two dispersal units were used: fruits and utricles. The utricle of C. pseudocyperus is a bottle-shaped envelope with a pointed, 2-mm long, deeply bifid ‘neck’ that surrounds a smooth fruit. This implies that 20 different ‘seed’ types (Table 2) were fed to the fish.

In 2012, mature fruits or seeds (the term ‘seed’ will be used hereafter to refer to seeds plus fruits) of the 19 species were collected in the Netherlands. Seeds were collected from a minimum of 10 individuals, growing in at least two populations. The seeds of riparian species were stored dry in the dark in an unheated room, whereas seeds of aquatic species were, after collection, stored outside under natural weather conditions in open 20-L tanks in tap water that was changed every 2 weeks. From 29 October 2012 until 14 March 2013, seeds of riparian species were stored in vials without water and seeds of aquatic species were stored in tap water in a dark room, both at 5 °C. We realise that these differences in pre-experimental conditions between aquatic and riparian seeds could have affected subsequent effects of gut passage. However, upon drying, seeds of aquatic plants are known to become less viable (Menscher, 1936), while wetting of dry seeds of some riparian plants (notably Impatiens glandulifera) can result in seeds going mouldy and rotting (pers. obs. G.B.). Thus, the pre-experimental conditions were chosen to retain seed viability. When the experiments started, all seeds had experienced a cold period of 108 days, which is considered sufficient to ensure germination in most of the species tested (Baskin & Baskin, 1998).

Seed traits considered were as follows: length, width, height, mass, shape, surface structure, hardness, the presence of appendages and a mucilaginous surface (Table 2). Data were obtained from the D3-Database (Hintze et al., 2013) and the LEDA-trait base (Kleyer et al., 2008) supplemented by additional measurements. Seed hardness expressed as cracking resistance (Appen-
without fish for 24 h. After this treatment, control seeds were stored in 25-mL vials filled with tap water at 5 °C until the beginning of the germination experiment.

The germination experiment

On 18 June 2013, retrieved and control seeds were simultaneously set to germinate in Petri dishes (Ø 9 cm) on a double layer of Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The paper was kept water-saturated with tap water, as earlier experiments (Boedeltje, Ter Heerdt & Bakker, 2002; Boedeltje et al., 2003) indicated that this was the optimal hydrological condition for both aquatic and riparian species. For 100 days, the dishes were placed in a climate room providing photon flux density (PAR, 400–700 nm) of 400 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ over 15-h light at 24 °C and a night temperature of 15 °C. Fluctuating temperatures were chosen, as earlier experiments (Thompson & Grime, 1983) had shown that at least five riparian species (Eupatorium cannabinum, Filipendula ulmaria, Juncus effusus, Lythrum salicaria and Scirpus sylvaticus) require fluctuating temperatures for good germination. Previous experiments (e.g. Boedeltje et al., 2002; Hay, Probert & Dawson, 2008) have demonstrated that aquatic species are also able to germinate under such conditions. In the first week after incubation, each dish was inspected every second day, in the second to the fourth weeks twice per week, and in the remaining period once per week. During an inspection, seedlings were counted and removed. After the germination period had ended,
non-germinated seeds were checked for viability. Seeds that collapsed when pinched were considered dead. Remaining firm seeds were cut so that the embryo was bisected after which they were placed in a 1% solution of 2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride (TTC) in watch glasses for 24 h at 25 °C to determine the presence of living tissue, an indication of seed viability (Cottrell, 1947). Embryos that turned red or pink were considered to be viable.

**Data analyses**

We used generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) to test for differences between fish species, plant species and interaction effects regarding the number of ingested, retrieved, viable and germinated seeds. Data were fitted to GLMMs with a binomial error distribution and a log link function. Instead of pre-selecting a given covariance type, we fitted the available covariance types and selected the one that minimised the residuals’ dispersion and provided the best fit (based on the lowest AIC score; cf. Latorre, Larrinaga & Santamaria, 2013). All models included fish species, plant species and their interaction as fixed factors and plant block (each of the 20 seed species were fed 10 times, constituting 10 ‘plant blocks’) as random factor.

For each fish species, the effects of seed traits and the interaction of some traits on the total number of ingested, retrieved, viable and germinated seeds were tested using GLMMs with a binomial error distribution and a log link function. Seed dimensions, mass and hardness were log-transformed to improve normality and attain a more even distribution of trait values. Seed traits were only included in the initial model when they explained more than 5% of the deviation on their own. Traits that did not have a large contribution to the model fit. The threshold employed was that explained deviation of the simplified model should not be reduced more than 2% compared to the initial model. To facilitate comparisons of effect sizes, all variables were standardised (rescaled to have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1). Analyses were performed using the package lme4 (Bates & Sarkar, 2007) in R (R-Development-Core-Team, 2013).

As multispecies analyses concerned with functional relationships should control for species interdependence (D’hondt & Hoffmann, 2011), we additionally performed analyses that took phylogenetic relationships into account (Appendix S2). We calculated phylogenetically independent contrasts using the package APE in R (Paradis, Claude & Strimmer, 2004), making use of a published tree of plants that included our 19 plant species (Durka & Michalski, 2012). The outcome of the models taking phylogeny into account (Appendix S2) did not lead to a different interpretation of our results, so for the sake of clarity, we only present the binomial model results.

To investigate whether the observed distributions of germinated and non-germinated and of viable and non-viable seeds retrieved from the faeces of each fish species differed from those of control seeds, we used χ² tests. To test whether germination and viability differed between fish species for a given seed species, Mann–Whitney U-tests were used.

**Results**

**Ingestion**

Seed ingestion varied significantly between fish species ($F_{1,360} = 6.89, P < 0.01$) and plants ($F_{19,360} = 4.34, P < 0.001$); in addition, there was a significant plant*fish effect ($F_{19,360} = 2.11, P < 0.01$). For carp, the mean seed ingestion of plant species ranged between 88.9% for Lycopus europaeus and 100% for Myriophyllum spicatum (Fig. 1). For tilapia, ingestion was lower, ranging between 28.4% for I. glandulifera and 97.5% for J. effusus (Fig. 1).

Seed ingestion was strongly affected by seed mass and therefore also by seed dimensions, because these traits are highly correlated (results not shown), with light small seeds being ingested in greater numbers than heavy, large seeds (Figs 3 & 4). For carp, large seeds were ingested less when they were flat and elongated (Fig. 3).

**Seed retrieval**

Seed retrieval varied significantly between fish ($F_{1,359} = 114.85, P < 0.0001$) and plant species ($F_{19,359} = 24.77, P < 0.0001$); in addition, there was a significant fish*plant effect ($F_{19,359} = 4.69, P < 0.001$). In 16 plant species, seed retrieval was significantly lower in carp than in tilapia (Fig. 1). With the exception of M. spicatum and the four Potamogeton species, seed retrieval was extremely
low for carp (Fig. 1) as most seeds were crushed and only seed fragments were found. Consequently, retrieval of seeds in carp was largely explained by their hardness (Figs 3 & 4). Even for the hardest seeds, retrieval declined in later trials (Fig. 2). For tilapia, mean retrieval percentage was lowest in I. glandulifera (19.4%) and highest in P. natans (89.1%) (Fig. 1). No seed fragments were observed in tilapia faeces. Both seed hardness and mass governed retrieval in tilapia with hard, heavy seeds being retrieved more frequently than soft, light seeds (Figs 3 & 4).

Viability of seeds

Viability of retrieved seeds varied significantly between plant species ($F_{19,359} = 27.317$, $P < 0.0001$). There was

---

**Fig. 1** The proportion of the total number of seeds fed that were ingested, retrieved in faeces, viable and germinated after gut passage, for each plant species.

**Fig. 2** Seed retrieval of the five species with the hardest seeds in faeces of carp during the research weeks. Time effects in seed retrieval were investigated using GLMM: *Potamogeton lucens* ($F_{1,8} = 0.29; P = 0.603$), *Potamogeton natans* ($F_{1,8} = 6.04; P = 0.040^*$), *Potamogeton alpinus* ($F_{1,8} = 2.82; P = 0.131$), *Myriophyllum spicatum* ($F_{1,8} = 11.30; P = 0.010^*$) and *Potamogeton pusillus* ($F_{1,8} = 15.48; P = 0.004^*$). Seed hardness of the species are as follows: *M. spicatum* = 26 N; *P. alpinus* = 19 N; *P. lucens* = 62 N; *P. natans* = 85 N and *P. pusillus* = 23 N.
also a significant fish*plant effect ($F_{19,359} = 5.157$, $P < 0.0001$). With respect to viability of the control seeds (Appendix S3), *I. glandulifera* had only 18% viability and appeared to be an outlier. Viability of the other species ranged from 40% (*Alnus glutinosa*) to 100% (*P. natans*, *Potamogeton pusillus*). The total number of viable egested
seeds varied significantly between fish and plant species (Fig. 1; Appendix S4). Compared to the control seeds, the viability of these seeds was lower in 12 and similar in eight species in carp. Species with lower viability were, among others, Alisma plantago-aquatica, A. glutinosa and F. ulmaria. In tilapia, viability was significantly lower in only six species (e.g. A. sylvestris and N. peltata).

The viability of egested seeds was governed by quite different traits for both fish species. In carp, it was greater in round seeds (Fig. 3) and when seeds were both hard and heavy (Fig. 4). In tilapia, shape did not affect survival strongly, but seed hardness promoted it, but only in light seeds. In both fish species, seed viability was higher in hard seeds with a mucilaginous layer (Fig. 4).

**Germination of seeds**

Germination of retrieved seeds varied significantly between plant species ($F_{19,321} = 37.210, P < 0.0001$. There was a significant fish*plant effect ($F_{19,321} = 6.219, P < 0.0001$). Germination percentage of the control seeds (Appendix S3) ranged from 4.0% (I. glandulifera) to 98.7% (L. salicaria). Compared to control seeds, germination of retrieved seeds was significantly lower in 10 and higher in two plant species in carp (Appendix S5). In tilapia, such germination was lower for seven and higher for three species (Appendix S5). The germination speed, expressed as G50 (the number of days after which 50% of the seeds had germinated), varied between species (Appendix S6). Compared to the control seeds, the G50 of retrieved seeds showed that germination was faster in two species (Potamogeton lucens and utricles of C. pseudocyperus) for both fish species (lower G50). In addition, the G50 of seeds retrieved from tilapia was lower for P. pusillus and S. sagittifolia, but higher for A. glutinosa than in control seeds.

The interaction between seed hardness and mass was the best predictor for germination of retrieved seeds in both fish species (Fig. 4), whereby hard, heavy seeds had the lowest germination, corresponding to the relatively low germination percentages of the Potamogeton species (Figs 1 & 3). The interaction between hardness and the presence of a mucilaginous layer was the second most important predictor in both fish. Hard seeds with a

---

**Fig. 4** Overview of the importance of the various seed traits during the different stages of seed dispersal (ingestion, retrieval, germination and viability as well as the overall effect from ingestion to viability) as indicated by their effect size (+SD). Positive effect sizes indicate positive relationships, while negative effect sizes indicate negative relationships. For each dispersal stage, the effect sizes for each trait are shown separately for carp (C.) and tilapia (T.), together with the explained deviation (%) and their significance ($*P < 0.05$).
mucilaginous layer had lower germination than similar seeds without this layer (Fig. 4).

Overall effects of seed traits on the survival of seeds fed

For carp, seed hardness was the most important trait for seeds to survive the different stages of ichthyochorous dispersal: ingestion, passage through the pharyngeal mill and then the rest of the gut to egestion (Fig. 4). The presence of a mucilaginous layer additionally contributed to survival, although to a lesser extent (Fig. 4). Although much less deviance could be explained in the models for tilapia, hardness was also important for survival. An elongated shape, a small mass and the absence of a mucilaginous layer were further crucial traits for seeds to survive gut passage in tilapia (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Seed dispersal stages

With respect to fish-mediated seed dispersal, this study focussed on (i) seed ingestion, (ii) seed retrieval and (iii) seed viability after gut passage (expressed in germination plus prolonged dormancy) (Pollux et al., 2006; Pollux, 2011). Common carp protrudes its jaws for fast and directed suction, even deep into the sediment, and is also able to select and handle small particles such as seeds (Sibbing et al., 1986). We found, however, overall high seed ingestion by carp, that was fairly indiscriminate. The only indication of a minor seed size effect was that the 2% of seeds that were not ingested were more often heavy, flat and elongated. Seed ingestion by tilapia partly supported our hypothesis that large seeds were ingested less. It is unlikely that gape size limits food intake in tilapia, because it was wider than the diameter of the pellets fed (Table 1) and it is even wider than in carp. No effect of pointed seed appendages was found, but seeds with a mucilaginous layer were ingested less. The lower suction speed and the tiny unicuspid teeth may limit the efficiency of tilapia in handling relatively heavy, large and mucilaginous seeds.

Seed hardness promoted retrieval and survival. The beneficial effect of seed hardness has also been demonstrated for endozoochorous dispersal in cattle (Gardener, McIvor & Jansen, 1993; Ramos, Robles & Castro, 2006) and waterbirds (de Vlaming & Proctor, 1968). The importance of seed hardness for surviving the bite force of carp is further suggested by the decline over time in the number of retrieved seeds and the associated increase in the number of seed fragments of M. spicatum, P. pusillus and Potamogeton alpinus (Fig. 2). Although learning behaviour or ‘gut adjustment’ to the experimental diet also may play a role, this is likely to be associated with an increase in bite force of the carps over the total research period (150 days). The mass of carp increased more than fourfold, which is likely to have resulted in a more than doubling of bite force [under the assumption that mass increases cubically with length and the cross section of a (bite) muscle increases quadratically, a fourfold increase in mass gives a 2.5-fold increase in bite force (4^{2/3})]. As no fragments of the five hardest seeds were found initially, we can infer the bite force of carp at the start of the experiment to be 19 N (=cracking resistance of P. alpinus). However, in the last 2–3 weeks, almost all seeds of M. spicatum, P. pusillus and P. alpinus were cracked, indicating that the bite force of carp had increased beyond 26 N (cracking resistance of M. spicatum), but was still <62 N (=cracking resistance of P. lucens). It has previously been reported (Agami & Waisel, 1988) that carp (of unknown size) may crush seeds of Najas marina. Although less pronounced than in carp, retrieval of hard seeds was also higher in tilapia. Similarly, Agami & Waisel (1988) retrieved four times more hard than soft seeds of N. marina after gut passage through an unspecified species of tilapia. In addition, retrieval of hard seeds was further promoted by seed mass in tilapia, but not in carp. The opposite is reported for waterbirds by Van Leeuwen et al. (2012) who found a negative correlation between seed mass and seed retrieval. This indicates that a given seed trait, such as seed mass, may have opposite effects on seed dispersal across different vectors. Similarly, we found contrasting effects across both fish species for the effect of a mucilaginous layer. In carp, but not tilapia, there was an additional but modest effect of a mucilaginous layer, providing support for the assertion that a mucilaginous seed coat acts as a lubricant during gut passage (Lobova et al., 2003). In tilapia, ingestion of seeds was negatively affected by a mucilaginous layer, while survival of retrieved seeds was positively related to a mucilaginous layer. The negative effect of a mucilaginous layer on seed ingestion at least partly explains its overall negative effect in tilapia (Fig. 4).

Previous studies report mixed responses in seed germination in plant species after ingestion by different frugivores (Rodríguez-Pérez, Riera & Traveset, 2005; Traveset, Robertson & Rodríguez-Pérez, 2007; Brochet et al., 2010). After ingestion by fish, improved germination has been reported for N. marina and Ruppi a maritima in tilapia (Agami & Waisel, 1988) and for Morus rubra.
and *Forestiera acuminata* in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) (Chick, Cosgriff & Gittinger, 2003), whereas unaltered germination was observed for *Sparganium emersum* and decreased germination for *S. sagittifolia* in carp (Pollux *et al.*, 2006). The faster germination observed here (compared to the control seeds) of a few plant species that passed the gut of carp and tilapia can be both advantageous and disadvantageous. Early germinating seeds may have a competitive advantage, but seedlings may also die of desiccation, pathogens or herbivores (Figuerola & Green, 2004; Traveset *et al.*, 2007).

In carp, we demonstrated an improvement in the germination for two hard-seeded species, and in tilapia for three different hard-seeded species and a reduction for seven soft-seeded species. This suggests that mixed responses after passing through the fish gut can be at least partly linked to seed hardness. The hard-seeded *Potamogeton* species in our experiments generally exhibited low germination percentages (Fig. 1) and slow germination (Appendix S6). *Potamogeton* species are known for their relatively low germination and low germination percentages in experimental studies (Boedeltje *et al.*, 2002; Hay *et al.*, 2008). Such slow germination has been suggested to constitute a cost of seed hardness (Traveset *et al.*, 2007). Our result highlights the potential benefit of seed hardness of enhanced dispersal by fish.

Our hypothesis that seed viability of retrieved seeds would be higher in hard-seeded than soft-seeded species was generally supported by the results for both fish species. Still hardness did not uniformly enhance viability across all seeds but acted in a context-dependent manner (see Verberk, van Noordwijk & Hildrew, 2013). In carp, viability of hard seeds was more pronounced when these were also large and had a mucilaginous layer. In tilapia, hard seeds with a mucilaginous layer similarly showed a better viability, but in tilapia, viability was also enhanced for small seeds, contrasting with the higher viability of large seeds in carp.

For eight aquatic and riparian species, Brochet *et al.* (2010) showed that passage through the gut of common teal (*Anas crecca*) increased the proportion of viable seeds, suggesting selective digestion of non-viable seeds. A mucilaginous layer seems to enhance survival of retrieved seeds uniformly, possibly by offering protection against intestinal fluids (Yang *et al.*, 2012 and references therein).

**Overall survival of gut passage**

Janzen (1984) hypothesised that seeds adapted to endozoochory by mammals are small, round and hard. Our analyses of the viability of seeds that were fed to common carp and Mozambique tilapia show that hardness is also of paramount importance for seeds to survive gut passage in fish. Further, spherical seeds were found to survive gut passage in fish better than elongate or flat seeds, which could be related to the favourable surface-mass ratio. However, our analysis of the different stages also shows that underneath this general pattern of greater survival in hard and round seeds lies a greater complexity of trait-performance relationships. Different seed traits are involved during each of these stages (ingestion, retrieval, viability/germination) (Figs 3 & 4) and traits may have opposite effects across these stages. For example, in tilapia, retrieval was enhanced in larger seeds, but survival was enhanced in smaller seeds (Fig. 3). Moreover, the effect of traits also depends on other seed characteristics, as illustrated by significant interactions terms between traits (Fig. 4). Finally, the importance, identity and even the mode of action of seed traits (positive or negative) varies between our two fish species (Fig. 4). This illustrates that the adaptive value of traits is context dependent (see Verberk *et al.*, 2013) and that the successful dispersal of seeds is controlled by many interacting traits, the effects of which we have started to unravel in this study.

**Common carp and Mozambique tilapia as potential seed dispersers**

The proportion of fed seeds that are viable (Fig. 5) can be used to predict the probability of dispersal, as it integrates the probability of ingestion, retrieval and survival. Only *M. spicatum* and the *Potamogeton* species have a substantial (>20%) probability of being dispersed by carp. However, this seems to apply only to smaller carp with a relatively low biting force. Only plants with very hard seeds are likely to be dispersed by larger carp. Our results that smaller carp may be better disperser contrasts with the study of Galetti *et al.* (2008) in the pacu fish (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*). They found that the largest individuals were the best dispersers of fruits of the palm *Bactris glaucescens*. Further studies are needed to determine in more detail the effect of body size (biting force) on the potential of seed dispersal by carp and other fish.

In tilapia, 14 plant species have a probability of >20% of being dispersed, including seven species with a probability of >40% (Fig. 5). Here, *M. spicatum*, the *Potamogeton* species, *S. sylvaticus* and *C. pseudocyperus* have the highest dispersal probability.

Whether seed ingestion is likely in nature, and whether plants have evolved in relation to this extra dispersal route remain open questions. All the plant species...
used in the experiment overlap with the current range of common carp (http://www.discoverlife.org). Fewer plant species (e.g. *M. spicatum*, *P. natans* and *P. pusillus*) (partly) overlap with the current range of Mozambique tilapia, but related plant species with similar seed traits do co-occur with tilapia. Both fish species are omnivorous and opportunistic feeders, inhabiting a wide range of potentially vegetated waterbodies (Bowen, 1982, Canonico et al., 2005; Doupé & Burrow, 2008). Thus, they may ingest plant seeds when foraging among detritus or on aquatic plants.

Compared to seeds of riparian species, seeds of aquatic species, especially non-floating seeds, are more likely to be ingested. Under the assumption that ichthyochory offers a significant fitness advantage to the seeds, one would expect those seeds to be better adapted to gut passage. Indeed, aquatic plants showed higher viability after gut passage for both fish species and, for tilapia, non-floating seeds of aquatic plants show the highest performance (Fig. 6). These results suggest a dispersal trade-off between hydrochorous and ichthyochorous, with non-floating seeds being at a disadvantage when it comes to hydrochorous dispersal, but being more likely to be dispersed by fish. Our results may indicate that the dispersal pathway offered by fish is evolutionarily important for aquatic and riparian plants. Together with dispersal via waterbirds (Soons et al., 2008; Van Leeuwen et al., 2012), wind (Soomers et al., 2013) and water...
fish may thus enhance gene flow between populations for species that rely predominantly on hydrochorous dispersal of vegetative diaspores (Boedeltje et al., 2003; Riis & Sand-Jensen, 2006). Moreover, fish vectors may interact, for example when fish-eating birds consume fish with seeds inside them. Aquatic plant seeds may even travel between hydrological catchments (cf. Green et al., 2008).

The distance which seeds are dispersed depends on the seed retention time and fish body size, spatial migratory patterns and swimming speed. Using tagged individuals, it was demonstrated (Jones & Stuart, 2009) that movement patterns of common carp are complex: some moved large distances (>127 km), while others remained within 5 km of the tagging site. The ability for rapid movement (up to 0.9 km h⁻¹) allows carp to spread quickly (Jones & Stuart, 2009). In tilapia, both upstream and downstream dispersal has been documented, with the speed being more rapid in an upstream direction (Hutchison, Sarac & Norris, 2011). In an Australian river, tilapia were found at sites spread over more than 500 km of waterway within 2 years of their initial discovery. This implies that if specific seeds are ingested by either carp or tilapia, they may be potentially dispersed over several kilometres, given a retention time of 8 h or more in carp (Pollux et al., 2006) and tilapia (Agami & Waisel, 1988).

In conclusion, there exist large interspecific differences in seed dispersal efficiency that can be attributed to the traits of both fish and plant seeds. Crucial is the bite force that common carp may exert on seeds when passing the pharyngeal mill. From the perspective of the seed, hardness is the major life-history trait to withstand this force. Seeds of only five plant species were sufficiently resistant to survive gut passage in carp in large numbers. Moreover, bite force increased with age in carp, greatly reducing seed survival after gut passage. Therefore, carp smaller than 15 cm are likely to perform the majority of internal seed dispersal of aquatic and riparian plants. Overall, round, hard seeds survived gut passage best. However, different seed traits are important during ingestion, retrieval and germination. Trait-performance relationships were context dependent and differed between the two fish studied. Nevertheless, both fish species may provide plants with an additional route of dispersal, with ichthyochorous dispersal particularly important for aquatic plants with non-floating seeds.
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