Post-settlement Life Cycle Migration Patterns and Habitat Preference of Coral Reef Fish that use Seagrass and Mangrove Habitats as Nurseries
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Mangroves and seagrass beds have received considerable attention as nurseries for reef fish, but comparisons have often been made with different methodologies. Thus, relative importance of different habitats to specific size-classes of reef fish species remains unclear. In this study, 35 transects in 11 sites of mangroves, seagrass beds and coral reef were surveyed daily, in and in front of a marine bay on the island of Curacao (Netherlands Antilles). The density and size-frequency of nine reef fish species (including herbivores, zoobenthivores and piscivores) was determined during a five-month period using a single methodology, viz. underwater visual census. All species were 'nursery species' in terms of their high densities of juveniles in mangroves or seagrass beds. Relative density distribution of the size-classes of the selected species over mangroves and seagrass beds suggested high levels of preference for either mangroves or seagrass beds of some species, while other species used both habitats as a nursery. Spatial size distribution of the nine species suggested three possible models for Post-settlement Life Cycle Migrations (PLCM). Haemulon sciurus, Lutjanus griseus, L. apodus, and Acanthurus chirurgus appear to settle and grow up in bay habitats such as mangroves and seagrass beds, and in a later stage migrate to the coral reef (Long Distance PLCM). Juveniles of Acanthurus bahianus and Scarus taeniopterus were found only in bay habitats at close proximity to the coral reef or on the reef itself, and their migration pattern concerns a limited spatial scale (Short Distance PLCM). Some congeneric species carry out either Long Distance PLCM or Short Distance PLCM, thereby temporarily alleviating competition in reef habitats. Haemulon flavolineatum, Ocyurus chrysurus and Scarus iserti displayed a Stepwise PLCM pattern in which smallest juveniles dwell in the mouth of the bay, larger individuals then move to habitats deeper into the bay, where they grow up to a (sub-) adult size at which they migrate to nearby coral reef habitats. This type of stepwise migration in opposite directions, combined with different preference for either mangroves or seagrass beds among (size-classes of) species, shows that reef fish using in-bay habitats during post-settlement life stages may do so by choice and not merely because of stochastic dispersal of their larvae, and underline the necessity of these habitats to Caribbean coral reef systems.
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Introduction

In many studies, juveniles of reef fish species were found in high densities in non-reef habitats, while the adults were found almost exclusively on the coral reef itself (Pollard, 1984; Parrish, 1989). From this spatially heterogeneous size-frequency distribution, Post-settlement Life Cycle Migration (PLCM) patterns were suggested that gave birth to the nursery concept. Mangroves and seagrass beds are considered nurseries to some reef fish species in the Western Atlantic, Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean (Pollard, 1984; Parrish, 1989). Several authors have suggested the benefits of nurseries to juvenile reef fish, varying from high food availability to lower predation efficiency, lower predator abundance, and high interception rate of the vegetation to planktonic larvae (Odum & Heald, 1972; Carr & Adams, 1973; Ogden & Ziemann, 1977; Blaber & Blaber, 1980; Shulman, 1985; Parrish, 1989; Heck & Crowder, 1991; Robertson & Blaber, 1992).

Most authors, however, have focused on one or two habitats of the mangrove-seagrass-reef continuum, often with different sampling methods, thus complicating comparisons among studies and among habitats (e.g. Robertson & Duke, 1987; Thayer et al., 1987; Yáñez-Arancibia et al., 1988; Blaber et al., 1989; Baelde, 1990; Rooker & Dennis, 1991; Sedberry & Carter, 1993; Laegdsgaard & Johnson, 2001). Quantitative data on ontogenetic shifts in habitat use from nursery to adult reef association are
largely lacking (Ogden & Ehrlich, 1977; Weinstein &
Heck, 1979; Rooker & Dennis, 1991; Appeldoorn
et al., 1997; Nagelkerken et al., 2000a) and the relative
importance of these nurseries to different size-classes
of reef fish species is still poorly known (Ogden &
Gladfelter, 1983; Birkeland, 1985).

In recent underwater visual surveys in seven
different habitats in a marine island bay in Curaçao
(Netherlands Antilles), a number of reef fish species of
which juveniles were highly abundant in bay environ-
ments were identified and grouped as ‘nursery
species’ (Nagelkerken et al., 2000a). Nagelkerken
et al. (2000a) used a low frequency of surveys in a
large number of transects, and focused on fish com-

munity structure in a range of habitats (mangroves,
seagrass beds, algal beds, channel, fossil reef terrace
notches, boulders, coral reef). Of all habitats in that
study, seagrass meadows proved to contain highest
numbers of fish, calculated from observed
density and total surface area.

In the same clear water marine bay in the
Caribbean, a selection of seven ‘nursery species’ of
which juvenile individuals had been found in large
numbers in mangroves and seagrass beds was
studied in detail (Acanthurus chirurgus, Haemulon
flavolineatum, H. sciurus, Lutjanus apodus, L. griseus,
Ocyurus chrysurus, and Scarus iserti). In addition to this
set of herbivorous, zoobenthivorous and piscivorous
fish, two congeneric species were selected that
were encountered in significant quantities in some
seagrass beds near the adjacent fringing reef (Scarus
taeniopterus and Acanthurus bahianus). Using daytime
underwater visual census as a single method to
to quantify the abundance of the nine selected species
and estimate their size, heterogeneity of the spatial
size-frequency distribution of these fish species in reef
habitats, mangroves and seagrass meadows was
tested. In this way, association of specific size-classes
of reef fish with specific habitats or spatially separated
sites provides information from which Post-settlement
Life Cycle Migration (PLCM) patterns can be
derived, taking day-to-day variation over a five month
period into account. Additionally, differences in
spatial distribution and habitat preference can be
compared among species.

The questions that will be addressed are:
1. Do size-classes of the selected species display any
preference for mangrove or seagrass habitats in
terms of densities?
2. Do habitats differ in the size-structure of the
subpopulations that they harbour?
3. Which spatial migration patterns can be inferred
from average densities and sizes by comparison of the
subpopulations at the various sites?

Methods

Study area

The present study was carried out in Spanish Water
Bay in Curaçao, Netherlands Antilles (Figure 1).
This 3 km² bay is shallow (largely < 6 m deep), har-
bours extensive seagrass meadows and is fringed by
mangroves (Rhizophora mangle). Water depths under
mangrove canopies ranged between 0·8 m and 1·8 m.
These canopies provide dark habitats (average light
extinction underwater was 85%, as opposed to 40%
over seagrass beds). The seagrass beds are dominated
by monospecific stands of Thalassia testudinum
(Kuenen & Debrot, 1995). Mean shoot density
(± SD) in the seagrass transects was 246 m² (± 110)
and seagrass canopy height averaged 28·0 cm
(± 11·5).

There is no freshwater input into the bay other than
rain, and salinity (avg. 35·4) is slightly higher than on
the reef (avg. 34·6). Bay water temperature averaged
30·1 °C (± 0·8), while water temperature on the reef
averaged 28·4 °C (± 0·9). Visibility was high at all
sites, and varied between an average of 6·5 m (± 1·8)
in the bay and 21·4 m (± 3·1) on the reef as measured
by means of a horizontal Secchi disk. The average
tidal amplitude in the area is 30 cm (De Haan &
Zaneveld, 1959).

The bay has a long (1 km) and narrow (± 70 m)
entrance that connects it to the adjacent fringing reef.
This reef is part of a marine park that stretches up to
the southwest tip of the island. The reef system starts
with a shallow reef flat (from 2–7 m depth), typically
covered by gorgonians, at the edge of which the
drop-off is located (at 5–10 m depth). Coral cover on
the drop-off and reef wall is predominated by the
stony coral Montastrea annularis. A detailed descrip-
tion of the reefs in the Netherlands Antilles can be
found in Bak (1975).

Sampling design

A total of 35 permanent transects were used in 11 sites
on the reef and in the bay (Table 1), covering a total
area of about 4500 m². Each of the transects was
censused 29 times on average, during daytime in May
through to September 1998. In Spanish Water Bay,
six seagrass sites were selected (Figure 1). At each
seagrass site, three permanent 3 by 50 m belt transects
were placed, which were surveyed by snorkelling.
Average water depth of these transects was between
0·8 and 2·4 m. Adjacent to four of the seagrass sites
(numbered 2, 3, 4 and 6) was a mangrove site (Figure
1). The mangrove stands consist of strips of vegetation
hanging over from fossil reef ledges, hence providing structural complexity from prop roots or branches in the water column beneath the mangrove canopy. The eight mangrove transects were narrow underwater habitats, and were censused by snorkelers. In the mangroves, transect width was between 1·1 and 2·1 m (Table 1). At the reef site (numbered 7, Figure 1), three permanent 3 by 50 m belt transects were placed at three depths (5, 10 and 15 m) parallel to the coastline, using nylon twine. The three 5 m deep transects were placed where the sandy reef flat ends at the start of the drop-off, the three 10 m deep transects

---

**Figure 1.** Location of the study sites in Spanish Water Bay. At sites 1–6 the seagrass beds were censused, while at site 2, 3, 4, and 6 mangroves were also surveyed. Site 7 was the reef site.
were located on the drop-off whereas the three 15 m deep transects were situated on the reef slope. At each depth, the three transects were placed 50 m apart from each other. The depth range was based on a pilot-study that showed that the selected species reached highest densities at depths less than 15 m. Reef sites were censused by Scuba diving. During visual surveys, individuals of the selected species were counted and their sizes estimated in size-classes of 2.5 cm. Underwater size estimators were trained with objects of known size. The three observers censused all transects using an alternating system so that any bias in size-estimation is equally represented in every transect. The observer effect was tested using ANOVA (see ‘Statistical analysis’ for further explanation). All juvenile fishes observed in this study were larger than 1 cm at settlement. Juvenile scarids smaller than 5 cm (TL) could not be identified in the field. Scarids of sizes smaller than 5 cm were left out of the data sets of *Scarus iserti* and *S. taeniopterus*. Juveniles and sub-adults of these two scarids that were larger than 5 cm (TL) could be distinguished by the characteristics shown in Humann (1996). All other species could be identified at all sizes.

**Statistical analysis**

For each species, mean size (cm) and total density (N 100 m$^{-2}$) of the observed individuals was calculated at each survey of a transect (each of the 35 transects was censused 29 times on average). Data were logtransformed and analysed in a nested ANOVA (GLM, SPSS 8.0) for unequal sample sizes, where sites were nested in habitats and individual surveys of the transects were treated as replicates within sites. Multiple comparisons of means within habitats (among sites) and among habitats were analysed using a Tukey HSD Spjotvoll/Stoline test (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). Observer effect was tested by a one-way ANOVA on each of the 35 transects, with observer identity as an independent variable and mean size or total density in the surveys as a dependent variable. None of the 35 ANOVAs on observer effect produced significant differences ($P<0.05$) in variance among size or density estimation among observers.

Since there is a variety of prevailing habitats in Spanish Water Bay (mangroves, seagrass beds, algal beds, channel, fossil reef terrace notches, boulders, coral reef; see Nagelkerken et al., 2000a), and the selected species use these shallow habitats as daily resting sites to which they return every day after nocturnal migrations to deeper feeding or sleeping grounds (Nagelkerken et al., 2000b), their daytime density distribution can be viewed as a matter of choice. Therefore, the density of a size-class of a fish species in mangroves relative to its density in seagrass beds is viewed as a level of habitat preference. The level of preference for either mangroves or seagrass beds was tested based on densities of the size-classes of each fish species occurring in mangroves and seagrass beds at site numbers 2, 3, 4 and 6 (Figure 1). Only these sites were used for analysis of habitat preference because both seagrass and mangrove habitats were surveyed at those sites. For each size-class of each species, the average density in mangroves at a site was divided by the sum density of that size-class in mangroves and seagrass beds at that site. These mangrove-to-seagrass preference levels of the size-classes of the species at the four sites were then clustered using City-block (Manhattan) distances (Statistica for Windows 4.5). In the Manhattan distances measure, the effect of single large differences (outliers) is dampened (in the Euclidean distance measure, differences are squared).

**Results**

**Habitat preference**

Mean densities (100 m$^{-2}$) of most species are significantly lower on the coral reef than in seagrass or mangrove habitats, with the exception of *Ocyurus chrysurus* (coral reef densities similar to densities in seagrass beds and lowest densities in mangroves) and
### Table 2. Average size (cm) and density (N 100⁻²) per species in each habitat, and their standard errors between brackets. Among sizes, significant (P<0.05) differences are indicated with a, b, and c for each species. Among densities, significantly different means are marked d, e, or f for each species. Different letters (a-f) mean that averages are significantly different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Densities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>Seagrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthurus bahianus</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.4⁻¹⁰ (0-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthurus chirurgus</td>
<td>13⁻⁰ (0·3)</td>
<td>11⁻⁰ (0·3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemulon flavolineatum</td>
<td>8⁻⁸⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·2)</td>
<td>7⁻⁷⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemulon sciurus</td>
<td>12⁻³⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·2)</td>
<td>11⁻⁵⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutjanus apodus</td>
<td>12⁻³⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·2)</td>
<td>11⁻³⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutjanus griseus</td>
<td>14⁻²⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·3)</td>
<td>12⁻⁶⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocyurus chrysurus</td>
<td>9⁻³⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·4)</td>
<td>9⁻⁸⁻¹⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarus iserti</td>
<td>8⁻¹⁻¹⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·3)</td>
<td>7⁻⁸⁻¹⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarus taeniopterus</td>
<td>6⁻³⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·0)</td>
<td>6⁻⁴⁻¹⁻¹⁻⁰ (0·1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acanthurus bahianus (not observed in mangroves, and seagrass densities not significantly different from reef densities) (Table 2). Overall densities of Scarus iserti, Ocyurus chrysurus, and Acanthurus bahianus are higher in seagrass beds than in mangroves, while the reverse is true for the remaining species (Table 2).

In order to determine the level of habitat preference, mangrove-to-seagrass density ratios (see Statistical analysis) were determined for each size-class at four sites where both mangroves and seagrass beds were surveyed. Cluster analysis of these mangrove-to-seagrass density ratios at the four sites (numbered 2, 3, 4, and 6 in Figure 1) yielded three distinct groups of size-classes of fishes at linkage distance 2·0 (Figure 2). Cluster A had an average mangrove-to-seagrass density ratio of 18% (range 0–46%); in cluster B, that ratio is 60% (34–75%); and in cluster C it is 93% (64–100%). All selected species were ‘nursery species’, in the sense that high densities of juveniles were found in mangroves or seagrass beds, while most adults were observed on the reef. All three habitats differed significantly in the average sizes of individuals of Haemulon flavolineatum, H. sciurus, and Acanthurus chirurgus that they harboured (Table 2). Ocyurus chrysurus, Lutjanus apodus, Scarus iserti, and S. taeniopterus showed no difference in average sizes between mangroves and seagrass beds, but individuals on the reef were significantly larger. Acanthurus bahianus was never found in mangroves, and the average size of the individuals of this species observed in seagrass beds was smaller than on the reef. Average size of Lutjanus griseus was significantly smaller in seagrass beds than in mangroves and on the coral reef, while the latter two habitats showed average sizes that were similar to each other. Mean size of all nine species on the reef (Table 2) was always smaller than or corresponded to the approximate mean total lengths at which these species become sexually mature (see Figure 3).
Figure 2. Complete linkage of relative (mangrove-to-seagrass) densities of the size-classes of the study species in four sites (numbered 2, 3, 4 and 6; see Figure 1), using City-block (Manhattan) distances. Species are indicated by the following codes: A. bah=Acanthurus bahianus, A. chir=Acanthurus chirurgus, H. flav=Haemulon flavolineatum, H. sci=Haemulon sciu- rus, L. apo=Lutjanus apodus, L. gris=Lutjanus griseus, O. chrys=Ocyurus chrysurus, S. iser=Scarus iserti, S. taen=Scarus taeni-opterus. Size-classes (cm) are indicated by the numbers behind the species codes.
Spatial migration patterns

The size-frequency distribution of *Haemulon flavolineatum*, *H. sciurus*, *Acanthurus chirurgus*, *Lutjanus apodus*, *Scarus iserti*, and *Ocyurus chrysurus* in mangroves and seagrass beds and on the reef suggests a size-range for each species over which the juveniles start migrating to the coral reef (Figure 3). In the case of *Scarus taeniopterus* this migration from nursery habitat to coral reef appears to take place rather abruptly, while individuals of *Acanthus bahianus* may migrate to reef habitats at all sizes.

When size-frequencies were compared among bay sites within each habitat (ANOVA), heterogeneous distribution patterns over sites emerged for some species (Table 3). For *H. sciurus*, the extremely low (0·09 100 m⁻²) densities of small individuals at site 2 are responsible for significant differences among seagrass sites. *Haemulon flavolineatum*, *Scarus iserti*, and *Ocyurus chrysurus* displayed a size-frequency distribution in which high densities of small individuals were found in mangroves and seagrass beds in the mouth of the bay (at site numbers 1 and 2, Figure 1), medium-sized fishes deeper in the bay, and large fishes on the reef [Figure 4(a, b and c)]. This indicates a Post-settlement Life Cycle Migration (PLCM) pattern with two changes of direction.

Both *Acanthus bahianus* and *Scarus taeniopterus* were only encountered at sites 1 and 2, which are located in the mouth of the bay (see Figure 1), and on the reef. They were not observed at sites located deeper into the bay. In both cases, high densities of small juveniles were detected in the mouth of the bay [Figure 4(d and e)], while statistically lower densities and larger individuals of these species occurred on the reef (Table 2). Their distribution indicates a PLCM pattern that is restricted to seagrass and mangrove sites in the close vicinity of the reef, and does not include temporary residence deeper in the bay.

Discussion

Habitat preference

From previous studies in the same bay (Nagelkerken *et al.*, 2000a, b), it is known that fish have a number of occurring habitats to choose from in Spanish Water Bay (mangroves, seagrass beds, algal beds, channel, fossil reef terrace notches, boulders). These shallow habitats are used as daily resting sites, to which the fishes return every day after nocturnal migrations to deeper feeding or sleeping grounds (Nagelkerken *et al.*, 2000b). Therefore, the relative density distribution of a fish species over mangroves and seagrass beds at daytime is considered here as a matter of choice. Cluster-analysis of the mangrove-to-seagrass density ratios of each size-class, at four sites that harboured both habitats showed different levels of habitat preference. *Lutjanus apodus* and *Haemulon sciurus* showed strong preference for mangroves over seagrass beds at all size-classes. *L. griseus* was also strongly associated with mangroves at all size-classes, and moderately by one size-class. *Acanthus bahianus* was not observed in mangroves, reflecting strong preference for seagrass beds. *Ocyurus chrysurus* and *Acanthus chirurgus* utilized both habitats. *Scarus iserti* and *Haemulon flavolineatum* also used both habitats, but there was a trend of increased preference for mangroves with increasing fish size, while the smallest juveniles of these species were highly associated with seagrass beds. Though seemingly marginal habitats, strips of mangroves of no more than 1 by 40 m at times may contain hundreds of individuals in resting schools. The preference of *Scarus taeniopterus* for mangroves may be exaggerated (mangrove-to-seagrass density ratio was about 60% : 40%), since juveniles smaller than 5 cm were excluded from the data set. Unidentifiable scarid juveniles of this size were mostly found in the mouth of the bay (average density 38·2 100 m⁻²), while the smallest juveniles of *Scarus taeniopterus* were located in mangroves (average density 0·8 100 m⁻² in transects deeper in the bay) in seagrass beds. Nagelkerken *et al.* (2000a, c) have found similar overall density distributions of these species in mangrove habitats and seagrass habitats in Curacao and Bonaire. The level of preference of these fish species for mangroves or seagrass beds in the situation where both habitats occur, however, is no indication of the level of dependence on these habitats. From comparisons among bays with and without mangroves or seagrass beds (Nagelkerken *et al.*, 2001), it is known that species that showed strong preference for mangroves in the present study (*Lutjanus apodus*, *L. griseus*, *Haemulon sciurus*) depend largely on the presence or absence of seagrass beds. Given the choice, such species apparently prefer mangroves as daytime resting sites for shelter, while their dependence on seagrass beds is best explained by the larger abundance of food in seagrass habitats in which they forage at night.

Size-distribution and spatial migration patterns

All selected species proved to be ‘nursery species’ in the sense that juveniles were much more abundant in mangroves or seagrass beds than on the reef, as expected from our previous study (Nagelkerken *et al.*, 2000a). Of nine species, six (*Haemulon flavolineatum*,...
(a) *H. flavolineatum*

(b) *H. sciurus*

(c) *A. chirurgus*

(d) *Scarus taeniopterus*

(e) *L. apodus*

(f) *L. griseus*

(g) *Scarus iserti*

(h) *Ocyurus chrysurus*

(i) *A. bahianus*
H. sciurus, Lutjanus apodus, Ocyurus chrysurus, Acanthurus chirurgus, and Scarus iserti] showed spatial distributions in which smallest individuals were only found in bay habitats. The largest individuals of Haemulon flavolineatum, Lutjanus apodus, Ocyurus chrysurus, and Scarus iserti were found only on the adjacent reef, while adults of Haemulon sciurus, and Acanthurus chirurgus were found both in reef habitats as well as in bay habitats (mangrove or seagrass). Average size of these six species was largest on the reef. The size at which these species become sexually mature (Robertson & Warner, 1978; Munro, 1983; Munro pers. comm.) always corresponded to or was larger than the average size at which they were found on the coral reef. The results suggest a Post-settlement Life Cycle Migration (PLCM) pattern over a considerable distance, in which juveniles settle and grow up in alternative habitats such as seagrass beds and mangroves, after which the sub-adults migrate to reef habitats where they become sexually mature. That pattern is named Long Distance PLCM. Post-larvae of these species settle in the mouth of the bay, after which they migrate deeper into the bay to grow up to a size large enough to migrate to and dwell on the reef. Most migrants into coastal regions come from the open sea (Blaber, 1997). These are in-out migrations; juveniles or adults or both migrate into an estuarine or coastal area for a certain period, after which they return to the open sea or coral reef. De Sylva (1963) describes a distribution pattern of Sphyraena barracuda that is coherent to the Stepwise PLCM patterns that were found in our study. Post-larvae and juveniles of this piscivore move from coastal shallows to reed beds or mangroves, followed by a migration to open sea.

Juveniles of Scarus taenioperus and Acanthurus bahianus were only found in the mouth of the bay and on the reef. Small juveniles of Acanthurus bahianus were only observed in seagrass beds in the mouth of the bay and in the reef flats, while adults occurred almost exclusively on the reef. These two species display a type of Short Distance PLCM in which larvae partly settle in the mouth of the bay and partly in the reef flats, to reach a size at which they migrate to deeper reef habitats.

Interestingly, some congeneric species appeared to display different directions of migration at similar sizes. Scarids of similar sizes are found mixed in the mouth of the bay, but one species then migrates to reef habitats (Scarus taenioperus), and the other migrates deeper into the bay and only dwells on the reef at larger sizes (Scarus iserti). Leaving unidentifiable scarids smaller than 5 cm out of the data sets...
did not affect conclusions regarding the spatial migration patterns of these species, since this size-class was almost exclusively found in the mouth of the bay. Apparently, both scarids settle in seagrass beds and mangroves located in the mouth of the bay, after which each migrates in an opposite direction. The same difference is observed when comparing the migration patterns of Acanthus chirurgus and A. bahianus. Of Acanthus bahianus it is known that behavioural interactions are size-related and can affect distribution, abundance and early post-settlement persistence of settlers (Risk, 1998), while post-settlement habitat selection is important in creating spatial patterns of recruitment (Sponaugle & Cowen, 1996). This means that competitive congeneric species can alleviate competition on the reef by temporary spatial separation.

Possible explanations for different spatial size-frequency distributions of post-settlement fishes involve variability in mortality rates, growth,
settlement patterns and migration patterns. Since the abundance of predators is much lower in the bay than on the reef as is generally the case (Shulman, 1985; Parrish, 1989), differences in mortality rates may explain the high abundance of juveniles in bay habitats and the reduced numbers on the coral reef. In fact, reduced mortality among juveniles in nursery habitats is often ascribed to reduced predator abundance or efficiency (e.g. Heck & Crowder, 1991; Robertson & Blaber, 1992). This, however, cannot explain the lower number of (sub-)adults in mangrove and seagrass habitats or the low numbers of the smallest juveniles in the habitats that are located deep in the bay. Also, abundance and availability of food items (such as benthic and planktonic invertebrates, epifauna and epiphytes) is much higher in the bay habitats of Spanish Water Bay than on the nearby coral reef (Cocheret de la Morinière et al., unpublished), which could not result in lower growth rates of fishes in the bay. Variability in growth rate is therefore another unlikely explanatory factor for the fact that the largest individuals of nursery species are usually found on the coral reef. The spawning seasons of the selected species are largely during the study period (Munro et al., 1973), and regular settlement (no major peaks) of postlarvae was observed for most of the species during the study. Considering all these processes, migration from nursery ground to coral reef habitat seems a logical explanation for the spatial distribution of size-classes of these fish species, and migratory patterns can be inferred. The stability of such patterns and validity of actual migrations must be tested in further studies.

Conclusions

Taking day-to-day variations in fish density and size-frequency into account over a five-month period, spatial patterns emerge for the selected fish species. Of some species, all size-classes that occurred in bay habitats appeared to prefer mangroves as daytime resting sites, while others were only found in seagrass beds. Other species utilized and preferred mangroves and seagrass beds at different sizes, and preference for mangroves of some species increased with increasing size-class.

The size-frequency distribution patterns of Haemulon flavolineatum, H. sciurus, Acanthurus chirurgus, Lutjanus apodus, Scarus iserti, and Ocyurus chrysurus in mangroves and seagrass beds and on the reef suggest a size-range for each species over which the juveniles start migrating to the coral reef (Figure 3). In the case of Scarus taeniopterus this migration from nursery habitat to coral reef appears to take place rather abruptly, while individuals of Acanthurus bahianus may migrate to reef habitats at all sizes. Haemulon sciurus, Lutjanus apodus, L. griseus, and Acanthurus chirurgus display Long Distance PLCM; Haemulon flavolineatum, Ocyurus chrysurus and Scarus iserti use Stepwise PLCM; Scarus taeniopterus and Acanthurus bahianus are retained within a small distance from the reef (Short Distance PLCM). These different migration patterns are depicted in Figure 5. The fact that some species carry out specific directional migrations and congeners may migrate to different areas raises questions concerning the mechanisms that trigger these migrational options,
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